

©

Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2007



Reg. No. എൽ. റംഗ്
KL/TV(N)/12/2006-2008

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

അധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകൃതമായ

Vol. LII
വാല്യം 52

Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday
മിശ്രവന്നാഡാം, തൃശ്ശൂർ

27th November 2007

2007 നവംബർ 27

No.

47

6th Agrahayana 1929

നമ്പർ

1929 അഗസ്റ്റ് 6

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2774/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th September 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between (1) Smt. N. Kanakavally Ammal, Proprietress, Amaravathy Estate, Pampadumpara P. O., Idukki Pin-685 556, (2) Sri Karuppayya, Superintendent, Amaravathy Estate, Pampadumpara P. O., Idukki Pin-685 556 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri K. A. Mani, Secretary, Malanadu Plantation Employees Union (CITU), Puliyanmala, Idukki in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial Dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of Employment to Smt. Leela and Smt. Jancy, Worker by the Management of M/s Amaravathy Estate, Pampadumpara, Idukki, is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?"

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3130/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sivarajan, Proprietor, Oorampalliyl Foundry Mundakkal West, Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon District Motor and Mechanical Workers Union (C.I.T.U.), Kollam-13, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri N. Sivadasan, Moulder by the management of Oerampaivil Foundry with effect from 4-3-2005 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 3139/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kamco, Athani, 2. The Chairman, Kamco, Athani and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by 1. The Secretary, Kamco Employees Federation, AITUC, Athani-683 583, 2. The Secretary, Kamco Employees Union, CITU, Athani P.O., Aluva in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the 4 workers viz.
1. S/s T. A. Mohammed (E. No. 854),
2. T. Aravindan (E. No. 853), 3. M. K. Syed
(E. No. 858), 4. N. Ramakrishnan (E. No.
850) are eligible to get the Grade Promotion
from Grade I to Grade II after completing
4 years as per the settlement dated 11-4-1998?
2. If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 3140/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Letchmi Estate, Munnar P.O., Idukki District and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estates Workers Union (A.I.T.U.C.), Munnar P.O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. "Whether the dismissal from service of Sri Sugumar, P. P. No. 578 by the management of Letchmi Estate, Munnar is justifiable?" 2. "If not, what are the reliefs entitled to him?"

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 3141/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Rubek Balloons Pvt. Limited, Rubber Park, Valayanchirangara P. O., Irapuram, Erumathala P.O.-683 556 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Rejo Poulose, Kalambattukudy Veedu, Elampakkappally P. O., Alimury in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment of Sri Rejo Poulose by the management of Rubek Balloons Pvt. Limited is justifiable or not?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 3152/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Rubek Balloons Pvt. Limited, Rubber Park, Valayanchirangara P. O., Irapuram, Erumathala P.O.-683 556 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri V. H. Ashraf, Vendara Veedu, Valayanchirangara P.O.-683 556 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. "Whether the denial of employment of Sri V. H. Ashraf by the management of Rubek Balloon Pvt. Ltd. is justifiable or not?"
2. "If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

By order of the Governor,

SUSY EAPEN,
Under Secretary to Government.